

SEP 2 7 2005

### **FAX COVER SHEET**

The information contained in this facsimile message, if a client of this firm is a named addressee, or the message is otherwise intended for a client, is presumptively legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not a named addressee, or if there is any reason to believe that you may have received this message in error, (1) do not read the message below; (2) do not distribute or copy this facsimile; and (3) please immediately call us collect at the number of the sender below.

DATE:

September 27, 2005

TOTAL # OF PAGES:

(INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET)

6

TO:

Examiner Sarah L. Purol

FAX #:

(571)-273-8300

FIRM NAME:

USPTO

PHONE #:

(571) 272-6834

FROM;

James A. Pinto

FAX #:

(303) 629-3450

PHONE #:

(303) 629-3407

EMAIL:

pinto.jim@dorsey.com

#### **COMMENTS:**

In Re Application of: Doug McCain

Application No. 10/615,638

Filing Date: 7/8/03 For Wine Rack

Art Inst. 20

Examiner: Sarah L. Purol

Art Unit: 3634

Attached please find the following:

Transmittal (one page);

2. Statement of the Substance of Interview under 37 CFR 1.133(b) (4 pages)

ORIGINAL WILL BE SENT VIA:		MAIL		E-MAIL		MESSENGER		AIR COURIER	X	WILL NOT BE SENT
PLEASE CONTACT JAMES A. PINTO AT (303) 629-3407 IF THIS TRANSMISSION IS INCOMPLETE OR CANNOT BE READ.										

REFERENCE # 1882/US/2

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP · WWW.DORSEY.COM · T 303.629.3400 · F 303.629.3450 REPUBLIC PLAZA BUILDING · SUITE 4700 · 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET · DENVER, COLORADO 80202-5647

UBA CANADA EUROPE ASIA

SEP 2 7 2005

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031 Doc U.S. Palant and Trademork Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/615,638; Confirmation 1429 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date **FORM** First Named Inventor Doug McCain Art Unit 3634 Examiner Name (to be used for all correspondence after initial filling) Sarab L. Purol Total Number of Pages in This Submission Attorney Docket Number 1882/US **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Aflowance Communication Fee Transmittel Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Amendment / Reply Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Pelition to Convert to a After Final Proprietary Information Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Affidavits/declaration(s) Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Extension of Time Request Terminal Disclaimer identify below): Statement of the Substance of Express Abandonment Request Request for Refund Interview under 37 CFR 1.133(b) (4 PAGES) CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ The Director Is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17; credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 04-1415. Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Signature Printed name Reg. No. 40,774 <u>CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING</u> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with aufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the Signature Typed or printed name S. Mellblam Laura Date 9/27/05

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suppositions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in complating the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER SEP 2 7 2005

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 1882/US/2

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

DOUG MCCAIN

Examiner:

PUROL, Sarah L.

Serial No.:

10/615,638

Art Unit:

3634

Filing Date:

July 8, 2003

For: W

WINE RACK

Statement of the Substance of Interview under 37 CFR 1.133(b)

MAILSTOP: AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents,

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b) and M.P.E.P. § 713.04,

Applicant hereby provides the following Statement of the Substance of Interview as a written summary of the recent personal interview on August 30, 2005, between Examiner Sarah L. Purol, Applicant's attorney James A. Pinto and Applicant Doug McCain in the above-identified application.

A. Brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.

A demonstration was conducted using an example of a wine rack according to an embodiment of the present invention. The Exhibit included a pair of frame elements attached to a wall surface, each frame member having support members extending substantially perpendicularly therefrom, the support members having recessed portions for supporting wine

09/27/2005 15:47 FAX 303 629 3450

DORSEY & WHITNEY

**2004/006** 

Serial No.:

10/615,638

Filed:

July 8, 2003

bottles. A set of wine bottles were placed in the rack to illustrate the general operation of an embodiment of the rack.

B. Identification of the claims discussed.

Claims 1, 6, and 9 were discussed.

C. Identification of the specific prior art discussed.

All of the prior art of record was reviewed and briefly discussed, including US Patent No. 4,998,631 to Fridjhon.

D. Identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.

The principle proposed amendments discussed included adding limitations to independent claims I and 6.

As to claim 1, the proposed amendments included reciting that the first support member and the second support member each have a fixed end and a free end, the fixed end attached to the respective frame elements; reciting that the second frame element is in a substantially parallel relationship with the first frame element; and reciting that the first and second support members support the wine bottle in a substantially parallel relation to the wall.

As to claim 6, the proposed amendments included reciting that the first pair of support members support at least a first and second wine bottle in a first horizontal row, each support member of the first pair of support members having a first recess for supporting a portion of the

Serial No.:

10/615,638

Filed:

July 8, 2003

first wine bottle and second recess for supporting a portion of the second wine bottle. The proposed amendments to claim 6 also included reciting that the second pair of support members for supporting at least a third and a fourth wine bottle are in a second horizontal row, each support member having a first recess for supporting a portion of the third wine bottle and a second recess for supporting a portion of the fourth wine bottle.

It was discussed that claims 9-20 should be cancelled without prejudice, for possible pursuit in a continuation application.

E. Brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner (the identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

The general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the Examiner were that the teachings of the prior art do not teach or suggest the elements recited in the proposed amendments to claims 1 and 6.

## F. General indication of any other pertinent maters discussed.

It was also discussed that a Petition to Make Special based on Applicant's Health was granted in the present application.

Serial No.:

10/615,638

Filed:

July 8, 2003

G. If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview summary form completed by the examiner.

The Examiner indicated that the proposed claim language would be considered and that the Examiner would perform an updated prior art search. A specific agreement with respect to the claims was not reached.

September 27, 2005

Respectfully submitted

James A. Finto, Attorney Registration No. 40,774

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP USPTO Customer No. 20686

4830-3883-6736\1